

Remarks

I. Response to Rejections under 35 USC Section 112:

At page 2 of the Office Action, claims 6 is rejected under 35 USC Section 112 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicants regard as the invention.

Claims 6-8 have been canceled.

II. Response to Rejections under 35 USC Section 102(a):

At page 3 of the Office Action, claims 1-29 are rejected as being anticipated by "Langseth" (US Patent number 6,694,316). However, Langseth does not disclose, a system that includes "at least one virtual sensor that covertly provides the system with feedback as to the receipt of the content objects and feedback on which of the content objects are of interest to a particular user", as recited in amended independent claim 1. Nor does Langseth disclose a method that includes "receiving feedback from at least one virtual sensor that covertly provides the document delivery system with feedback as to the receipt of the content objects and feedback on which of the content objects are of interest to particular users", as recited in amended independent claim 22. Support for these claim amendments can be found at page 24, lines 3-8 of the specification and in Figures 15 and 21.

In Langseth, a system and method for a subject-based channel distribution of automatic, real-time delivery of personalized informational and transactional data is disclosed. Langseth includes an automatic real-time personalized intelligence network that actively delivers personalized and timely content to individuals through use of a high-speed processing and output delivery system to email, Excel, pager, mobile phone, fax, telephone, personal digital assistants and other terminal devices. However, nowhere in Langseth is there a mention of any type of "feedback" that might be used to indicate which of the received content objects was of particular interest to the user. In Langseth, information is transmitted to the recipient, and there is no mention of any feedback path from the user back to the document delivery system.

Accordingly, the Applicant believes that the rejection to the claims under 35 USC section 102 has been overcome.

II. Additional Fees:

It is not believed that additional fees are due at this time; however, if any additional fee is required in connection with the filing of this Amendment, please charge the fee to Deposit Account No. 08-2025.

Respectfully submitted,

Aloke Gupta et al.

By: 

Jeff D. Limon

Agent for the Applicants

Registration Number 45,418

Hewlett-Packard Company
Legal Department
1000 NE Circle Blvd.
Corvallis, OR 97330
Telephone: (541) 715-5979
Fax: (541) 715-8581